

An Extensive Analysis of the Technology Status and Trends of Wastewater Treatment

Abstract

Wastewater treatment is a critical process to protect public health and the environment. A wide array of technologies exists to treat wastewater, ranging from traditional methods to innovative, advanced approaches. This paper provides an extensive analysis of various wastewater treatment technologies, examining their mechanisms, advantages, limitations, and suitability across different applications. The study will consider conventional methods such as activated sludge processes and mechanical systems, as well as natural systems like constructed wetlands. Emerging technologies leveraging membrane filtration, advanced oxidation processes, and resource recovery techniques will also be explored. By offering this comprehensive assessment, this research aims to inform decision-making for wastewater management strategies, promoting optimal treatment solutions that balance effectiveness, cost, and sustainability.

Keywords: Wastewater treatment; Activated sludge; Mechanical systems; Membrane filtration; Advanced oxidation processes; Resource recovery.

Introduction

Comprehending the genesis of sewage refinement is pivotal for grasping the assorted methodologies deployed in this domain. The inception of effective sewage purification became a necessity owing to the adverse effects that untreated effluent had on both populace well-being and ecological sustainability. Ancient societies such as those from the Indus Valley and Egypt were pioneers in concocting basic techniques for both disposing of and treating wastewater. As epochs elapsed, the maturation of scientific knowledge and mechanical prowess ushered in refined processes aimed at purging impurities from sewage prior to its release back into the environment. Delving into the historical advancements concerning sewage treatment technologies sheds light on how contemporary methods have evolved, along with highlighting obstacles encountered towards realizing efficacious and enduring remediation strategies [1].

In the pursuit of maintaining a sustainable environment, the critical role played by efficient wastewater management is undeniable. The discharge of untreated water from residential, commercial and farming activities introduces numerous harmful substances into natural habitats, posing significant risks to both ecological systems and human well-being. It is imperative to implement proper cleansing processes to eliminate dangerous pollutants, infectious agents, and excessive nutrients before reintroducing the water into our surroundings [2,3]. Committing resources towards superior sewerage treatment facilities and embracing cutting-edge cleaning practices stand as core principles in promoting an untainted and secure atmosphere for all generations present and yet to come. To summarize, overlooking the pivotal necessity for proficient wastewater sanitization would be a grave error in our endeavor towards responsible environmental stewardship [3].

The essay's outline showcases careful planning intended to thoroughly examine diverse methods of treating wastewater. It starts with highlighting the critical necessity for efficient treatment strategies due to both environmental and public health challenges. The text then advances into a detailed examination of traditional techniques including sedimentation, filtration, and biological mechanisms, pointing out their advantages as well as drawbacks. Next, it elaborates on more sophisticated technologies such as membrane filters, UV sterilization, and ozonation processes by underscoring their improved capabilities in eliminating contaminants. The concluding portion of the piece integrates the accumulated data, providing a side-by-side evaluation of the varied methodologies addressed while suggesting areas for further investigation. This structural approach ensures that readers traverse an integrated storyline which aids in grasping the intricacies involved in managing wastewater effectively [4].

1. Primary Treatment Methods

1.1 Screening

Initiating the wastewater treatment journey with screening is fundamentally vital, targeting to sideline hefty particulate matter and rubble preceding more intricate procedures. This step is key to averting blockages in subsequent apparatus and boosts the adeptness in purifying pollutants. Diverse strategies for screening are employed, encompassed of coarse screens, fine screens, plus microscreens, each custom-fitted for distinct granule size requisites. Screens of a coarser nature possess wider gaps capable of snaring sizable elements such as branches and foliage, whereas finer screens halt tinier bits like synthetic

Abdul Majeed Ansari

Associate Professor
Department of Chemistry
Govt PG College Bundi,
Rajasthan, India

Purnima Dixit

Associate Professor and
HOD
Department of Chemistry
Govt PG College Bundi,
Rajasthan, India

materials and fabric strands. Microscreens push the boundary further by ensnaring even diminutive impurities including microbes and seaweeds. [5].

1.2 Sedimentation

In the water cleaning process, sedimentation plays a pivotal role wherein particles suspended is brought down under gravity's effect. The main aim here is to efficiently separate solids from the liquid segment. As wastewater makes its way into a sedimentation basin, there's a reduction in flow speed which subsequently allows gravity to drag down denser particles. Initially, during this settling strategy, flocs that took shape through coagulation and flocculation processes start to settle. Gradually, these particulates gather at the tank's base, evolving into sludge for extraction purposes. The success of sedimentation heavily hinges on aspects like particle size and heaviness, holding period and how the sedimentation basin is conceived. Fine-tuning these variables holds key significance in augmenting removal effectiveness and yielding clarified water ready for secondary treatment stages [6].

1.3 Filtration

Filtration acts as a pivotal phase in the cleansing of wastewater, successfully eliminating particles in suspension, infectious agents, and additional pollutants from the liquid. This procedure entails the flow of water through a permeable substance like sand or membrane layers, capturing detritus while permitting purified water to proceed. The significance of filtering is underscored by its contribution to generating top-tier effluent deemed suitable for releasing or recycling, positioning it as an essential element within technologies for treating wastewater. The choice regarding which method of filtration to employ hinges on aspects such as required quality outcomes for the effluent, rates of flow desired and distinct impurities detected within the aquatic environment [7].

2. Secondary Treatment Technologies

2.1 Activated Sludge Process

The Process of Activated Sludge is a method extensively utilized in the biological treatment within wastewater purification facilities. This technique merges microorganisms and organic compounds in oxygen-enriched tanks for the decomposition of pollutants. These microbes feast on organic substances, transforming them into carbon dioxide, water, and additional microbes. Subsequently, the effluent transitions to a settling basin where the formed microbial aggregates descend outwards, resulting in clear water that can either be released or undergo extra purification steps. The success rate of this sludge activation procedure hinges on various elements like the proportion between microbes and organics, availability of oxygen, and the extent of aeration time [8].

2.2 Trickling Filters

Filters known as trickling filters are crucial for the biological processing of wastewater, offering a method that is both effective and not costly for eliminating organic contaminants. These systems comprise a layer of materials, such as stones or synthetic matter, which wastewater flows over slowly, establishing an environment for aerobic organisms to proliferate and break down organic compounds. The microbial layer forming on this material acts as a biofilm, enabling the transformation of organic substances into simpler forms that are less damaging. In addition, trickling filters can house a varied community of microbes capable of adjusting to changes in the incoming characteristics of wastewater. Furthermore, their design simplicity and ease of management render them ideal for smaller facilities concerned with treating wastewater [9].

3.3 Sequencing Batch Reactors

Wastewater treatment has seen SBRs, or Sequencing Batch Reactors, rise to prominence due to their operational adaptability and proficiency in eliminating pollutants. These reactors function through a repeating sequence that includes the stages of filling, reacting, settling, and decanting. This sequence allows for wastewater to be processed consecutively within one reactor unit. Initially, during the fill stage, incoming wastewater is introduced into the system; this is then followed by the reaction stage where biological activities break down organic substances and extract nutrients. The repetitive process of SBRs affords them flexibility in handling changing characteristics of influent and securing enhanced purging results. Moreover, they offer simplicity in operation along with reduced energy consumption by facilitating control over air supply, mixing processes and sludge removal all within one vessel compared to traditional methodologies-presenting an encouraging avenue for environmentally friendly and efficient waste treatment systems [10,11].

3. Tertiary Treatment Approaches

3.1 Filtration (Sand, Activated Carbon)

Through the utilization of sand and activated carbon, filtration emerges as an indispensable phase in the wastewater purification sequence. The technique involving sand contributes to eliminating substances in suspension, colloidal entities, and even certain microorganisms via physical interception, whereas activated carbon excels in its capacity to absorb organic

pollutants, toxins, and compounds that generate foul smells. Employing these dual methods of filtration is commonly seen within tertiary treatment stages to secure effluent of superior quality that's apt for either discharge or further use. Owing to its cost-efficiency and straightforward operational nature, sand filtration garners preference across extensive treatment operations. Conversely, the approach involving activated carbon stands out for its unparalleled efficacy in eradicating minute contaminants, thereby becoming critical for purifying wastewater laden with particular pollution issues. In essence, integrating sand with activated charcoal amplifies both the proficiency and capability of systems designed for treating wastewater [11].

3.2 Disinfection (Chlorination, UV Irradiation)

The purification of sewage by eradicating pathogens and microbes before release into the natural habitat is essential. Employing chlorine and ultraviolet light for sterilization are prevalent techniques. The process of chlorination introduces chlorine compounds into the water, obliterating pathogens via oxidation reactions. Conversely, UV irradiation harnesses the power of ultraviolet rays to incapacitate microorganisms by damaging their genetic material, preventing reproduction. Each approach has its pros and cons; for instance, although cost-efficient with enduring disinfectant effects, chlorination may generate unwanted chemical byproducts [12]. Meanwhile, UV irradiation is devoid of chemicals but lacks residual protective properties against contaminants. Factors such as needed sterility levels, operational expenditures, ecological consequences, and legal standards influence the decision-making regarding these methods' applicability in wastewater management practices safeguarding public welfare and environmental health mandates careful selection among available sanitation options.

3.3 Nutrient Removal (Phosphorus, Nitrogen)

The extraction of nutrients, notably phosphorus and nitrogen, from wastewater is essential to avert the eutrophication of water bodies into which the treated water is discharged. A plethora of methods exists for the effective elimination of these nutrients, encompassing biological nutrient removal (BNR), chemical precipitation, and sophisticated methodologies such as membrane bioreactors. In BNR approaches, microbial actions transform the nutrients by cycling through aerobic, anoxic, and anaerobic phases within the treatment setup. Chemical precipitation techniques employ binding agents like alum or ferric chloride to precipitate phosphorus out as solid forms that are insoluble. Furthermore, cutting-edge solutions like membrane bioreactors merit attention due to their substantial efficiencies in purging both phosphorus and nitrogen from wastewater. The choice among these diverse technologies hinges on specifics such as the nutrient load in incoming wastewater and objectives regarding purity levels in outgoing water flows; this underscores the necessity for customization in sewage refinement practices [13].

4. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs)

4.1 Ozone Treatment

The technology of ozone treatment, recognized for its potency in eliminating diverse impurities due to its robust oxidative capabilities, is extensively utilized across sewage treatment facilities. Initially deployed for sanitization objectives, the scope of ozone treatment has expanded owing to its proficiency in decomposing organic contaminants and enhancing the quality of water on a broader scale. This procedure entails producing ozone gas that is subsequently dispersed into the sewage with the aim of attacking and disassembling pollutants. By modifying elements like the amount of ozone dispensed, duration of exposure, and acidity levels, ozone treatment can be customized to meet precise requirements. Despite being a formidable approach to purification, continual vigilance is imperative with ozone treatment to amplify effectiveness while curtailing undesirable secondary effects. In essence, owing to its adaptability and effectiveness, employing ozonation proves beneficial in surmounting intricate hurdles associated with treating wastewater [14].

4.2 Hydrogen Peroxide

Oxidizing powerfully, Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) finds frequent use in the purification processes of wastewater for disinfecting and stripping away organic impurities. Its success hinges on its capability to decompose organic pollutants into innocuous byproducts via oxidative reactions [15]. In the arena of wastewater cleansing, hydrogen peroxide may serve solo or be paired with additional sophisticated oxidation techniques to boost the breakdown of stubborn organic entities. Upon breaking down, it produces hydroxyl radicals ($HO\cdot$), thereby attacking a broad spectrum of contaminants and rendering it an adaptable choice for water cleaning endeavors. Nonetheless, deploying hydrogen peroxide within vast-scale treatment facilities for wastewater mandates diligent deliberation over dosage amounts, pH balance, and the dynamics of chemical reactions to refine its effectiveness whilst curtailing expenditure. Henceforth, deep comprehension regarding hydrogen peroxide's chemical behavior and kinetic interactions within wastewater management systems stands crucial for its fruitful utilization in practice [15, 16].

4.3 UV/H₂O₂

The UV/H₂O₂ technique is viewed as a hopeful method for advanced oxidation in wastewater treatment due to its effective degradation capability of various contaminants. This approach produces hydroxyl radicals via the interaction between hydrogen peroxide and UV light, facilitating the decomposition of organic pollutants into non-toxic residuals. The combined action of UV light and hydrogen peroxide significantly improves the efficacy of eliminating pollutants, positioning it as an advantageous option for addressing newly recognized contaminants such as drugs and products for personal hygiene. Additionally, this process has demonstrated substantial effectiveness in neutralizing pathogens found in wastewater, thereby contributing to the enhancement of water quality norms. Leveraging both hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet technology offers an eco-friendly and economical strategy for purifying water from pollutants, establishing its importance within wastewater purification endeavors [17].

5. Membrane Technologies**5.1 Reverse Osmosis**

Applying pressure onto a dense solution to push water particles across a partly permeable barrier, leaving pollutants behind, encapsulates the process known as reverse osmosis. This method is increasingly favored for its prowess in extracting contaminants like microorganisms, heavy metals, and salts from water. Demonstrated to substantially lower contamination levels within wastewater, reverse osmosis emerges as an essential technique for generating superior-quality effluent that can be recycled or safely released into natural settings. Its effectiveness in purifying wastewater renders it crucial for maintaining water purity and conservation across diverse sectors, encompassing both municipal sewage treatment installations and industrial operations [18].

5.2 Ultrafiltration

In the task of treating wastewater, ultrafiltration plays an indispensable role, efficiently eliminating suspended particles, colloids, and large molecules from liquids. This sophisticated filtering method depends on membranes equipped with accurately measured pores to sift through pollutants by their size and weight. The principle propelling ultrafiltration is the exerted pressure on incoming water which compels it through the membrane but blocks particles exceeding a specific dimension. By adeptly stripping away unwanted elements, ultrafiltration yields effluent of supreme quality that adheres to strict regulations for either discharging or repurposing [19].

5.3 Nanofiltration

Nanofiltration (NF) is emerging as a prominent technology for treating wastewater, drawing attention for its capability to efficiently eliminate organic substances, divalent ions, and pathogens from aquatic sources. It relies on a mechanism of size exclusion, permitting molecules smaller than the pores of the membrane to filtrate while larger ones are obstructed. Such functionality allows NF to maintain an elevated throughput alongside impressive elimination rates. Its effectiveness in pinpointing particular pollutants whilst preserving essential water constituents underscores NF's pivotal role in enhancing water recycling and substance reclamation initiatives. This positions NF as an instrumental approach within the realm of eco-friendly water management strategies. Moreover, its adaptability and economic viability herald broad applications across diverse fields, positioning it as a comprehensive answer to dilemmas pertaining to water purity [20, 21].

6. Emerging Technologies in Wastewater Treatment**6.1 Electrocoagulation**

Utilizing electric currents to destabilize suspended contaminants in water for their subsequent removal via coagulation and sedimentation, Electrocoagulation represents a promising avenue in wastewater treatment technology. This method leverages sacrificial anodes and cathodes to generate coagulants directly within the system, thus circumventing the necessity for chemical additions; this offers a reduction in both operational expenses and ecological repercussions. The technique is engineered to address a broad spectrum of pollutants such as organics, heavy metals, and disease-causing agents, showcasing its utility across various industrial and municipal settings. Remarkable efficiencies have been observed with Electrocoagulation concerning the eradication of contaminants from intricate wastewater flows [21, 22].

6.2 Forward Osmosis

The technology of forward osmosis stands at the forefront of innovative solutions for mitigating water scarcity problems, demonstrating significant potential. In this method, water is propelled across a membrane that only partially allows passage, moving from a solution loaded with impurities to another solution possessing greater osmotic strength. The motive power behind this technique arises from the disparity in osmotic forces between these two differing solutions. Its application has been extensively explored for its prowess in treating diverse wastewater types such as industrial discharge, mildly saline water, and domestic waste by proficiently amassing pollutants within the draw solution [23].

6.3 Microbial Fuel Cells

In the domain of treating wastewater, Microbial Fuel Cells (MFCs) present a hopeful avenue by their dual capacity to cleanse organic pollutants while concurrently generating electric power. Within MFC systems, microorganisms engage in the oxidation of organic compounds and move electrons towards an electrode, thus building a voltage that can be exploited for electricity production. This twofold capability renders MFCs as a sustainable method for managing wastewater since they not only diminish energy demands linked with conventional treatment tactics but also furnish renewable power. The effectiveness of MFCs in purifying wastewater chiefly hinges on factors such as the composition of microbial communities, materials chosen for electrodes, and conditions during operation. Through fine-tuning these aspects, it's feasible that MFC technology could emerge as an economical and green alternative for sewage treatment facilities, playing a role in both diminishing pollution and crafting energy through one integrated procedure [24].

7. Energy Efficiency and Sustainability**7.1 Renewable Energy Integration**

Incorporating eco-friendly energy sources into technologies for treating wastewater is pivotal for augmenting sustainability in the functioning of these facilities. A methodical inclusion of green energy solutions, such as panels powered by solar energy or turbines driven by wind, can markedly diminish dependence on conventional fossil fuels, thereby slashing both operational expenses and emissions of carbon [25]. By harnessing sources of renewable energy, facilities for treatment are positioned to attain a greater level of self-sufficiency energy-wise and foster a more environmentally friendly setting.

7.2 Resource Recovery

The concept of extracting resources from wastewater treatment has drawn considerable attention for its ability to turn waste into useful commodities, aiding in achieving sustainability objectives. In contrast with conventional wastewater treatment that mainly aims at eliminating pollutants, strategies for recovering resources look to pull out energy, nutrients, and water from the effluent. This lessens reliance on fresh sources while boosting resource efficiency across city-based water infrastructures. In summary, reclaiming valuable materials from sewage not only diminishes adverse environmental repercussions but also paves ways economically by converting waste channels into advantageous components [26].

7.3 Life Cycle Assessment

The utilization of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) emerges as a crucial instrument in gauging the ecological ramifications tied to diverse technologies for treating wastewater. By undertaking an exhaustive LCA, investigators are positioned to evaluate aspects such as energy use, emissions of greenhouse gases, and the depletion of resources over the complete lifecycle of a treatment methodology. This method fosters a comprehensive grasp on the environmental toll exerted by wastewater management, advancing past analyses fixed at singular points to embrace phases spanning from the extraction of raw materials to disposal at life's end. Via comparative evaluations, LCA paves paths towards pinpointing treatment solutions that are both economically viable and hold sustainability at their core, steering policymakers in directions that harmonize with eco-friendly principles [27].

Conclusion

Summary of Principal Technologies for Wastewater Purification: In the sphere of purifying wastewater, several technologies are pivotal in extracting pollutants and generating purified water. Key among these technologies laid the activated sludge systems, which employ microorganisms to decompose organic substances. Moreover, membrane bioreactors meld biological treatment processes with filtration membranes for successful elimination of solids and pathogens. Techniques such as ozonation and UV irradiation under advanced oxidation processes are critical for dismantling hard-to-remove contaminants including pharmaceuticals and industrial compounds. Additionally, artificial wetlands replicate natural mechanisms to purify wastewater via plant-driven biological and physical dynamics. It is clear that the sector is in a constant state of flux, aiming to tackle the escalating issue of water contamination. The assortment of strategies discussed illuminates the varied tactics available for handling wastewater, each presenting its distinct merits and drawbacks. Although traditional methods like activated sludge systems and membrane bioreactors are widely embraced, nascent technologies such as electrocoagulation and advanced oxidation procedures exhibit significant promise moving forward. With ongoing advancements in this field, it's crucial for both practitioners and scholars to work together intimately to forge novel solutions capable of satisfying the surging need for purified water across the globe. In essence, an all-encompassing strategy that duly considers ecological, fiscal, and societal aspects is indispensable for devising exhaustive solutions tailored to wastewater treatment.

References

1. Prasse, C., Stalter, D., Schulte-Oehlmann, U., Oehlmann, J., & Ternes, T. A. (2015). Spoilt for choice: A critical review on the chemical and biological assessment of current wastewater treatment technologies. *Water Research*, 87, 237–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.09.023>
2. Rajasulochana, P., & Preethy, V. (2016). Comparison on efficiency of various techniques in treatment of waste and sewage water: A comprehensive review. *Resource-Efficient Technologies*, 2(4), 175–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reffit.2016.09.004>
3. Al-Saydeh, S. A., El-Naas, M. H., & Zaidi, S. J. (2017). Copper removal from industrial wastewater: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 56, 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2017.07.026>
4. Wu, H., Zhang, J., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W., Hu, Z., Liang, S., Fan, J., & Liu, H. (2015). A review on the sustainability of constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: Design and operation. *Bioresource Technology*, 175, 594–601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.068>
5. Talvitie, J., Mikola, A., Koistinen, A., & Setälä, O. (2017). Solutions to microplastic pollution: Removal of microplastics from wastewater effluent with advanced wastewater treatment technologies. *Water Research*, 123, 401–407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.07.005>
6. Gude, V. G. (2016). Wastewater treatment in microbial fuel cells: an overview. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 122, 287–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.022>
7. Foley, J., de Haas, D., Hartley, K., & Lant, P. (2010). Comprehensive life cycle inventories of alternative wastewater treatment systems. *Water Research*, 44(5), 1654–1666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.11.031>
8. Neoh, C. H., Noor, Z. Z., Mutamim, N. S. A., & Lim, C. K. (2016). Green technology in wastewater treatment technologies: Integration of membrane bioreactor with various wastewater treatment systems. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 283, 582–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.07.060>
9. Corominas, L., Foley, J., Guest, J., Hospido, A., Larsen, H., Morera, S., & Shaw, A. (2013). Life cycle assessment applied to wastewater treatment: State of the art. *Water Research*, 47(15), 5480–5492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.06.049>
10. Miklos, D. B., Remy, C., Jekel, M., Linden, K. G., Drewes, J. E., & Hübner, U. (2018). Evaluation of advanced oxidation processes for water and wastewater treatment: A critical review. *Water Research*, 139, 118–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.042>
11. Sun, Y., Chen, Z., Wu, G., Wu, Q., Zhang, F., Niu, Z., & Hu, H. Y. (2016). Characteristics of water quality of municipal wastewater treatment plants in China: implications for resources utilization and management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 131, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.068>
12. Zhang, D. Q., Jinadasa, K., Gersberg, R. M., Liu, Y., Ng, W. J., & Tan, S. K. (2014). Application of constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment in developing countries: A review of recent developments (2000–2013). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 141, 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.03.015>
13. Lin, H., Gao, W., Meng, F., Liao, B. Q., Leung, K. T., Zhao, L., Chen, J., & Hong, H. (2012). Membrane Bioreactors for Industrial Wastewater Treatment: A Critical Review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 42(7), 677–740. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2010.526494>
14. Ahmed, S. N., & Haider, W. (2018). Heterogeneous photocatalysis and its potential applications in water and wastewater treatment: a review. *Nanotechnology*, 29(34), 342001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/aac6ea>
15. Hao, T. W., Xiang, P. Y., Mackey, H. R., Chi, K., Lu, H., Chui, H. K., van Loosdrecht, M. C., & Chen, G. H. (2014). A review of biological sulfate conversions in wastewater treatment. *Water Research*, 65, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.06.043>
16. Kalbar, P. P., Karmakar, S., & Asolekar, S. R. (2012). Selection of an appropriate wastewater treatment technology: A scenario-based multiple-attributed decision-making approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 113, 158–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.08.025>
17. Xu, P., Zeng, G. M., Huang, D. L., Feng, C. L., Hu, S., Zhao, M. H., Lai, C., Wei, Z., Huang, C., Xie, G. X., & Liu, Z. F. (2012). Use of iron oxide nanomaterials in wastewater treatment: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 424, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.02.023>

18. Tong, T., & Elimelech, M. (2016). The Global Rise of Zero Liquid Discharge for Wastewater Management: Drivers, Technologies, and Future Directions. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 50(13), 6846–6855. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01000>
19. Paździor, K., Bilińska, L., & Ledakowicz, S. (2019). A review of the existing and emerging technologies in the combination of AOPs and biological processes in industrial textile wastewater treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 376, 120597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.12.057>
20. Longo, S., d'Antoni, B. M., Bongards, M., Chaparro, A., Cronrath, A., Fatone, F., Lema, J. M., Mauricio-Iglesias, M., Soares, A., & Hospido, A. (2016). Monitoring and diagnosis of energy consumption in wastewater treatment plants. A state of the art and proposals for improvement. *Applied Energy*, 179, 1251–1268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.07.043>
21. Zhang, C., Jiang, Y., Li, Y., Hu, Z., Zhou, L., & Zhou, M. (2013). Three-dimensional electrochemical process for wastewater treatment: A general review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 228, 455–467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.05.033>
22. Moussa, D. T., El-Naas, M. H., Nasser, M., & Al-Marri, M. J. (2017). A comprehensive review of electrocoagulation for water treatment: Potentials and challenges. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 186, 24–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.10.032>
23. Gu, Y., Li, Y., Li, X., Luo, P., Wang, H., Robinson, Z. P., Wang, X., Wu, J., & Li, F. (2017). The feasibility and challenges of energy self-sufficient wastewater treatment plants. *Applied Energy*, 204, 1463–1475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.02.069>
24. Bottero, M., Comino, E., & Riggio, V. (2011). Application of the Analytic Hierarchy Process and the Analytic Network Process for the assessment of different wastewater treatment systems. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 26(10), 1211–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.04.002>
25. Wu, S., Kusch, P., Brix, H., Vymazal, J., & Dong, R. (2014). Development of constructed wetlands in performance intensifications for wastewater treatment: A nitrogen and organic matter targeted review. *Water Research*, 57, 40–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.03.020>
26. Yu, L., Han, M., & He, F. (2017). A review of treating oily wastewater. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 10(2), S1913–S1922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.07.020>
27. Lofrano, G., & Brown, J. (2010). Wastewater management through the ages: A history of mankind. *Science of the Total Environment*, 408(22), 5254–5264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.062>